

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/987,545		11/15/2001	Bruno Deltour	216112US2	216112US2 7361	
22850	7590	08/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN N			DEAN, RAYMOND S			
OBLON, SP 1940 DUKE	•	CCLELLAND, MAI	ER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA	22314		2618		
				DATE MAILED: 08/21/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · •			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Advisory Action	09/987,545	DELTOUR ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond S. Dean	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to ore this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the second s	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this Ad		ne final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in contact of the shortened set of the shortened set.	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. tatutory period for reply originally set in the hs after the mailing date of the final rejection pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	The appropriate extension of the appropriate extension of the action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, materials within two monestics.	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any ths of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must			
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)	·	ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 11.)	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe rry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.			ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: ____.

Raymond S. Dean August 11, 2006 571-272-7877

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Response To Applicant's assertion on Page 2 of the Remarks "Thus assuming arguendo that the outstanding Office Action ...".

The synchronization word of Borth is a modification of the frame structure of Kroon in view of McGibney, in other words, the synchronization technique of Borth is used in addition to the synchronization technique of Kroon in view of McGibney thereby providing an alternative synchronization means. The combination of Kroon, McGibney, and Borth provide a system with two synchronization techniques with one of said synchronization techniques i.e. the technique in Borth, enabling transmission over radio channels at transmission rates in excess over those normally allowed by the multipath characteristics of the RF channel, which is the motivation for synchronization thereby allowing for transmission over radio channels at transmission rates in excess over those normally allowed by the multipath characteristics of the RF channel.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 3, 5th Paragraph of the Remarks "The outstanding Office Action and Borth do not establish that Borth's synchronization ...". The synchronization scheme of Borth enables adaptive equalization thus accomodating multiple users experiencing different multipath channel characteristics. The synchronization scheme also enables higher data throughput thus allowing for the transmission over radio channels at transmission rates in excess over those normally allowed by the multipath characteristics of the RF channel.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertion on Page 4, 2nd Paragraph "For McGibney to include synchronization information in each ...". The modification of the McGibney system with the scheme of Borth renders a system where the synchronization information is in the synchronization slot thus the basic principle of operation will remain the same.

Response To Applicant's assertion on Page 5, 1st Paragraph of the Remarks "The outstanding Office Action then sets forth the unsupported statement ...".

Examiner is simply stating that the time slots in typical TDMA systems comprise guard bits (See Online Summary of a document by David Faulkner http://swig.stanford.edu/pub/summaries/wireless/tdma_pcs.html), in other words, the guard bits are an inherent characteristic in the TDMA system of McGibney.

Response to Applicant's assertion on Page 5, 2nd Paragraph of the Remarks "Furthermore, Borth discloses that a guard time filed ...".

LANA LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

The guard time is going to contain time information (See Borth Column 7 line 58) thus the guard time equates to information...